

THE FRESNEL DIFFRACTION INTEGRAL IS A FRACTIONAL FOURIER TRANSFORM

AARON J. LEMMER

The propagation of light can be viewed as a process of continual fractional Fourier transformation. As light propagates, its amplitude distribution evolves through fractional transforms of increasing order.

Namias introduced the fractional-order Fourier transform [1] and McBride and Kerr provided mathematical rigor and the definition for the operator for all orders, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ [2]. Pellat-Finet related the two-dimensional fractional Fourier transform to Fresnel diffraction, connecting the composition of the operator with the Huygens principle [3]. Ozaktas and Mendlovic explored the relationship between wave amplitudes on spherical surfaces of certain radii and separation, as well as the description of general optical systems consisting of sequences of lenses and free-space segments [4]. More recently, Schnebelin and Guillet de Chatellus used the fractional Fourier transform to describe the integer and fractional Talbot effects and their application to analog signal processing [5].

Almeida interpreted the order α as the angle of rotation in the time–frequency plane, and made connections to the Wigner distribution and the ambiguity function [6].

1. DERIVATION OF THE HUYGENS–FRESNEL PRINCIPLE

This derivation follows §3.4–3.7 and §4.1.2–4.5 of Goodman [7]. Let S_1 be the planar surface just behind the diffracting aperture and let S_2 be the spherical surface of radius R centered at P_0 that joins and closes $S = S_1 + S_2$. The vector \mathbf{r}_{01} points from P_0 to P_1 , where the latter is on S_1 .

$$U(P_0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{S_1+S_2} \left(G \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} - U \frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \right) ds \quad (1)$$

where $G = \exp(jkr_{01})/r_{01}$. On S_2 , $G = \exp(jkR)/R$. Also, given that

$$\frac{\partial G(P_1)}{\partial n} = \cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{r}_{01}) \left(jk - \frac{1}{r_{01}} \right) \frac{\exp(jkr_{01})}{r_{01}} = \cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{r}_{01}) \left(jk - \frac{1}{r_{01}} \right) G(P_1), \quad (2)$$

then

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial n} = \left(jk - \frac{1}{R} \right) G \approx jkG, \quad (3)$$

where the approximation is valid for large R . The S_2 portion of the integral can be written

$$\iint_{S_2} \left(G \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} - U(jkG) \right) ds = \int_{\Omega} G \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial n} - jkU \right) R^2 d\omega, \quad (4)$$

Date: October 26, 2021.

where Ω is the solid angle subtended by S_2 at P_0 . The magnitude of RG , $|RG| = |\exp(jkR)|$, is uniformly bounded on S_2 . Thus this integral over S_2 will vanish as R becomes large as long as

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial n} - jkU \right) = 0, \quad (5)$$

which is the Sommerfeld radiation condition. This is satisfied if U vanishes as fast as a diverging spherical wave, which is the case if there are only outgoing waves impinging on S_2 . Thus,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{S_2} \left(G \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} - U \frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \right) ds = 0 \quad (6)$$

and therefore

$$U(P_0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{S_1} \left(G \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} - U \frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \right) ds. \quad (7)$$

We assume the diffracting screen is opaque except for the aperture, Σ .

Now apply the Kirchhoff boundary conditions:¹

- (1) Across the surface Σ , the field distribution U and its derivative $\partial U/\partial n$ are exactly the same as they would be in the absence of the screen. This condition allows us to specify the disturbance incident on the aperture by neglecting the presence of the screen.
- (2) Over the portion of S_1 that lies in the geometrical shadow of the screen, the field distribution U and its derivative $\partial U/\partial n$ are identically zero. This condition allows us to neglect all of the surface of integration except that portion lying directly within the aperture itself.

We now have

$$U(P_0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\Sigma} \left(G \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} - U \frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \right) ds. \quad (8)$$

Suppose either G or $\partial G/\partial n$ vanishes on S_1 . Let the Green's function is written in terms of P_0 and its mirror image \tilde{P}_0 (where \tilde{r}_{01} is the distance between \tilde{P}_0 and P_1), where the sources at these two points are π rad out of phase:

$$G_-(P_1) = \frac{\exp(jkr_{01})}{r_{01}} - \frac{\exp(jk\tilde{r}_{01})}{\tilde{r}_{01}}. \quad (9)$$

In this case, G_- vanishes on S_1 . We then have the first Rayleigh–Sommerfeld solution:

$$U(P_0) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\Sigma} U \frac{\partial G_-}{\partial n} ds. \quad (10)$$

¹While the Kirchhoff boundary conditions simplify the results considerably, it is important to realize that neither can be exactly true. The presence of the screen will inevitably perturb the fields on Σ to some degree, for along the rim of the aperture certain boundary conditions must be met that would not be required in the absence of the screen. In addition, the shadow behind the screen is never perfect, for fields will inevitably extend behind the screen for a distance of several wavelengths. However, if the dimensions of the aperture are large compared with a wavelength, these fringing effects can be safely neglected, and the two boundary conditions can be used to yield results that agree very well with experiment.

The normal derivative of G_- is

$$\frac{\partial G_-(P_1)}{\partial n} = \cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{r}_{01}) \left(jk - \frac{1}{r_{01}} \right) \frac{\exp(jkr_{01})}{r_{01}} - \cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{01}) \left(jk - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}_{01}} \right) \frac{\exp(jk\tilde{r}_{01})}{\tilde{r}_{01}}. \quad (11)$$

For P_1 on S_1 , $\mathbf{r}_{01} = \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{01}$ and $\cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{r}_{01}) = -\cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{01})$, so on S_1 ,

$$\frac{\partial G_-(P_1)}{\partial n} = 2 \cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{r}_{01}) \left(jk - \frac{1}{r_{01}} \right) \frac{\exp(jkr_{01})}{r_{01}}. \quad (12)$$

When $r_{01} \gg \lambda$,

$$\frac{\partial G_-(P_1)}{\partial n} = 2jk \cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{r}_{01}) \frac{\exp(jkr_{01})}{r_{01}}. \quad (13)$$

Substituting this into the first Rayleigh–Sommerfeld solution, we have the Huygens–Fresnel principle:

$$U(P_0) = \frac{1}{j\lambda} \iint_{\Sigma} U(P_1) \frac{\exp(jkr_{01})}{r_{01}} \cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{r}_{01}) \, ds. \quad (14)$$

This integral expresses the observed field $U(P_0)$ as a superposition of diverging spherical waves $\exp(jkr_{01})/r_{01}$ originating from secondary sources located at each and every point P_1 within the aperture.

We can express the obliquity factor exactly as $\cos(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{r}_{01}) = \Delta z/r_{01}$, and represent P_0 with the transverse coordinates $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ and P_1 with the transverse coordinates $\mathbf{u}_0 = (u_0, v_0)$. Then

$$U(\mathbf{u}, z) = \frac{\Delta z}{j\lambda} \iint_{\Sigma} U(\mathbf{u}_0, z_0) \frac{\exp(jkr_{01})}{r_{01}^2} \, d\mathbf{u}_0, \quad (15)$$

where $r_{01} = \sqrt{(\Delta z)^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|^2}$.

2. THE FRESNEL APPROXIMATION

The Fresnel approximation is made via application of the binomial expansion ($\sqrt{1+b} \approx 1 + b/2 - b^2/8 + \dots$):

$$r_{01} = \Delta z \sqrt{1 + \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|^2}{(\Delta z)^2}} \approx \Delta z \left(1 + \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|^2}{2(\Delta z)^2} \right) = \Delta z + \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|^2}{2\Delta z}. \quad (16)$$

Only the first term is required for the approximation of the r_{01}^2 that appears in the denominator, but both terms are required for the approximation of r_{01} that appears in the exponent. This is because errors in the approximation of r_{01} in the exponent are multiplied by a very large number k ($k \sim 10^7$ for optical wavelengths), and small phase changes are very significant. Hence

$$U(\mathbf{u}, z) = \frac{e^{jk\Delta z}}{j\lambda\Delta z} \iint_{\Sigma} U_0(\mathbf{u}_0, z_0) \exp\left(jk \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|^2}{2\Delta z} \right) \, d\mathbf{u}_0. \quad (17)$$

3. TWO-DIMENSIONAL FRACTIONAL FOURIER TRANSFORM

The two-dimensional fractional Fourier transform is

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha\{f\}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{j(\pi\hat{\alpha}-2\alpha)/2}}{2\pi|\sin\alpha|} \exp\left(-\frac{j}{2}\cot\alpha\|\mathbf{x}\|^2\right) \iint \exp\left(j\frac{\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{x}'}{\sin\alpha} - \frac{j}{2}\cot\alpha\|\mathbf{x}'\|^2\right) f(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}', \quad (18)$$

where the integrals are infinite, $0 < |\alpha| < \pi$, and $\hat{\alpha} = \text{sgn}(\sin\alpha)$ [3, 2].

4. FRESNEL DIFFRACTION AS A FRACTIONAL FOURIER TRANSFORM

To show that the Fresnel diffraction integral (Eq. 17) involves a fractional Fourier transform, some scaling is necessary. Let ℓ be a characteristic length such that $\|\mathbf{u}_0\| = \ell\|\mathbf{x}'\|$. Equating the corresponding exponents in Eqs. 17 and 18,

$$\frac{k}{\Delta z}\|\mathbf{u}_0\|^2 = \cot\alpha\|\mathbf{x}'\|^2 \quad (19)$$

$$\|\mathbf{u}_0\|^2 = \frac{\Delta z}{k}\cot\alpha\|\mathbf{x}'\|^2 \quad (20)$$

$$\ell = \sqrt{(\Delta z/k)\cot\alpha}. \quad (21)$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{k}{\Delta z}\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{x}'}{\sin\alpha} \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{u}_0}{\sin\alpha} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}'\|}{\|\mathbf{u}_0\|} \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{k}{\Delta z}\|\mathbf{u}\| = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|}{\sin\alpha} \frac{1}{\ell} \quad (24)$$

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \frac{\Delta z}{k} \sqrt{\frac{k\tan\alpha}{\Delta z\sin^2\alpha}} \|\mathbf{x}\| \quad (25)$$

$$= \sqrt{(\Delta z/k)\cot\alpha\cos\alpha} \|\mathbf{x}\| \quad (26)$$

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \ell\cos\alpha\|\mathbf{x}\|. \quad (27)$$

Note that $d\mathbf{u}_0 = du_0 dv_0$, so $d\mathbf{u}_0 = (\Delta z/k)\cot\alpha d\mathbf{x}'$. Let $\tilde{U}_0(\mathbf{x}', z) = U_0(\ell\mathbf{x}')$. Then

$$U(\mathbf{u}, z) = \frac{e^{jk\Delta z}}{j2\pi} \cot\alpha \exp\left(\frac{j}{2}\frac{\cot\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2\right) \iint_{\Sigma} \tilde{U}_0(\mathbf{x}', z) \exp\left(-j\frac{\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{x}'}{\sin\alpha} + \frac{j}{2}\cot\alpha\|\mathbf{x}'\|^2\right) d\mathbf{x}'. \quad (28)$$

Given the trigonometric identity

$$\frac{\cot\alpha}{\cos^2\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sin\alpha\cos\alpha} = \frac{\sin^2\alpha + \cos^2\alpha}{\sin\alpha\cos\alpha} = \tan\alpha + \cot\alpha,$$

$$U(\mathbf{u}, z) = \frac{e^{jk\Delta z}}{j2\pi} \cot\alpha \exp\left(\frac{j}{2}(\tan\alpha + \cot\alpha)\|\mathbf{x}\|^2\right) \times \iint_{\Sigma} \tilde{U}_0(\mathbf{x}', z) \exp\left(-j\frac{\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{x}'}{\sin\alpha} + \frac{j}{2}\cot\alpha\|\mathbf{x}'\|^2\right) d\mathbf{x}'. \quad (29)$$

Using the complex conjugate of Eq. 18, $\mathcal{F}_{-\alpha}\{f\}(\mathbf{x})$, then

$$\frac{2\pi|\sin\alpha|}{e^{-j(\pi\hat{\alpha}-2\alpha)/2}}\mathcal{F}_{-\alpha}\{f\}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(\frac{j}{2}\cot\alpha\|\mathbf{x}\|^2\right)\iint\exp\left(-j\frac{\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{x}'}{\sin\alpha} + \frac{j}{2}\cot\alpha\|\mathbf{x}'\|^2\right)f(\mathbf{x}')d\mathbf{x}'. \quad (30)$$

Substituting this result into Eq. 29,

$$U(\mathbf{u}, z) = e^{jk\Delta z}\frac{\cot\alpha|\sin\alpha|}{je^{-j(\pi\hat{\alpha}-2\alpha)/2}}\exp\left(\frac{j}{2}\tan\alpha\|\mathbf{x}\|^2\right)\mathcal{F}_{-\alpha}\{\tilde{U}_0\}(\mathbf{x}, z) \quad (31)$$

$$= \frac{e^{j(k\Delta z-\alpha)}}{je^{-j\pi\hat{\alpha}/2}}\hat{\alpha}\cos\alpha\exp\left(\frac{jk}{2\Delta z}\sin^2\alpha\|\mathbf{u}\|^2\right)\mathcal{F}_{-\alpha}\{\tilde{U}_0\}(\mathbf{x}, z), \quad (32)$$

where the fact that $\hat{\alpha} = |\sin\alpha|/\sin\alpha$ and Eq. 27 have both been used in the second line.

When $\alpha > 0$, $\hat{\alpha} = 1$ and $je^{-j\pi/2} = 1$. Under this condition,

$$U(\mathbf{u}, z) = e^{j(k\Delta z-\alpha)}\cos\alpha\exp\left(\frac{jk}{2\Delta z}\sin^2\alpha\|\mathbf{u}\|^2\right)\mathcal{F}_{-\alpha}\{\tilde{U}_0\}(\mathbf{x}, z). \quad (33)$$

Hence Fresnel propagation over a distance Δz involves a fractional Fourier transform, scaled by a few factors. The $e^{jk\Delta z}$ represents the constant-phase contribution that is often neglected, the $e^{j\alpha}$ term is the Gouy phase shift (see §8.8.4, p. 498 of [8], or p. 86 of [9]), and the remaining exponential represents a quadratic approximation to a diverging spherical wavefront with radius $\mathcal{R}_\alpha = \Delta z/\sin^2\alpha$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Victor Namias. The fractional order fourier transform and its application to quantum mechanics. *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, 25(3):241–265, 1980.
- [2] A. C. McBride and F. H. Kerr. On Namias’s Fractional Fourier Transforms. *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, 39(2):159–175, 1987.
- [3] Pierre Pellat-Finet. Fresnel diffraction and the fractional-order Fourier transform. *Opt. Lett.*, 19(18):1388–1390, 1994.
- [4] Haldun M. Ozaktas and David Mendlovic. Fractional Fourier optics. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, 12(4):743, 1995.
- [5] Côme Schnebelin and Hugues Guillet de Chatellus. Fractional Fourier transform-based description of the Talbot effect: application to analog signal processing. *Appl. Opt.*, 56(1):A62, 2017.
- [6] Lus B. Almeida. The Fractional Fourier Transform and Time-Frequency Representations. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 42(11):3084–3091, 1994.
- [7] Joseph W. Goodman. *Introduction to Fourier Optics*. Roberts & Company, Englewood, CO, 3rd edition, 2005.
- [8] Max Born and Emil Wolf. *Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference, and Diffraction of Light*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 7th edition, 1999.
- [9] Bahaa E. A. Saleh and Malvin Carl Teich. *Fundamentals of Photonics*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 3rd edition, 2019.